

Shadow Prices Needed for CBAs of Early Childhood Interventions

Dave Weimer

University of Wisconsin-Madison

March 5, 2009

Assertions

- Plausible but imperfect shadow prices are available for application to most predictions based on long-term experiments
 - Long-term experiments, however, cannot adequately support policy choice
 - Experiments and other efforts to estimate shadow prices may be better use of resources
 - Value in developing “linked” shadow prices to connect immediate effects to social benefits
-

Background:

Role of Shadow Prices in CBA

- Shadow prices convert projected program impacts into social benefits (willingness to pay) and program resource use into social costs (opportunity costs)
 - Sources:
 - n Market prices if undistorted markets exist
 - n Adjusted market prices if markets distorted
 - n Indirect methods when markets are missing (market analogies, contingent valuation, etc.)
-

Background:

Early Childhood Intervention Examples

- Market prices: wages (and benefits) of teachers in pre-school programs
 - Adjusted market prices: opportunity cost of use of school buildings
 - Missing markets:
 - n Opportunity costs of volunteer time
 - n Returns to improved educational outcomes
 - n Valuation of the benefits of avoided crime
-

“Ideal” CBA Model

- Long-term random assignment experiment directly relevant to prospective program
 - Prediction of program impacts based on experiment
 - Valuation of program impacts
 - n Direct estimation of earnings increases
 - n Application of cost of crime shadow prices to changes in criminal behavior
 - n Shadow prices for changes in quality of life?
-

Why “Ideal” CBA Model is Not Ideal as a Public Policy Strategy

- Long term experiments are costly, so sample sizes small
 - n As noted by Besharov, Hanushek, Anderson, and others, small sample size makes generalization and reliability (overly influential participants) suspect
 - n Only small number of such studies feasible
- Attrition can threaten internal validity
- Secular change may invalidate generalization
- Can we wait?

Alternative Approach: Invest in Developing Better Shadow Prices

- “Wholesale” rather than “retail” experiments
 - n Example: RAND Health Insurance Experiment
 - n Broader but shorter ECI experiments to link more confidently effects of program variations to outcomes, such as school completion, for which shadow prices may be more readily available
- Better shadow prices for crime
- New shadow prices, such as willingness to pay for redistribution, using contingent valuation

Research to Link Narrow Outcome Measures to Social Benefits

- Identify shadow price to convert narrow, but readily measured, outcome to social benefit
 - n Example: Haveman and Wolfe (1984) household utility approach
 - Estimate non-labor market benefits of schooling (reductions in crime, efficiency of consumption)
 - Rule-of-thumb: non-labor market gains approximately equal to labor market gains
 - n Wolfe and Haveman (2001)
 - Additional affects: for example, fertility choices of daughters
-

More on Haveman and Wolfe (1984)

- Nonmarketed Outputs Monetized
 - n Cognitive development of children
 - n Contraceptive use
 - n Consumption efficiency (education alters budget allocations in same direction as income)
 - n Criminal apprehension
 - n Improvement in health
- Estimates suggest very rough shadow price of \$1 per \$1 of higher earnings from education

More on Wolfe and Haveman (2001)

- Possible components of comprehensive shadow price for education
 - n Effect of schooling on children's schooling
 - n Effect of schooling on family members' health
 - n Effect of schooling on one's own health
 - n Effect of schooling on efficiency of consumption
 - n Effect of schooling on daughters' fertility choices
 - n Effect of schooling on neighborhood
 - Can we estimate effects sufficiently well to get plausible shadow price?
-

Research to Link Immediate Impacts to Future Benefits

- Objective: Draw on empirical research of all sorts to link immediate impacts of ECI programs (such as school readiness) to outcomes for which we have shadow prices (say, school completion)
 - Compress chain to produce a shadow price for immediate impact that can be used in comparing alternative programs.
-

Exemplary Empirical Approach: Aos et al. 2006 WSIPP Criminal Justice Study

- Meta-analysis of relevant studies that report program effects
 - Downward adjustment of weighted average effect because of three factors
 - n Methodological quality of studies (random assignment, quasi-experimental, etc.)
 - n Outcome measure relevance (decay of effects over time)
 - n Researcher involvement (.5 reduction for all studies that were not “real world” trials)
-

Conclusion

- Widespread comparison of ECIs using CBA requires more and better shadow prices
 - All empirical approaches, including experiments, but also observational studies and contingent valuations, should be considered in the effort to create and improve shadow prices
-